## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS TYLER DIVISION

| EDDIE RAY COLEMAN, | § |   |
|--------------------|---|---|
| Petitioner,        | § | <pre>\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ Case No. 6:20-CV-138-JDK-JDL</pre> |
|                    | § |   |
|                    | § |   |
| <b>v.</b>          | § |   |
|                    | § |   |
| DIRECTOR, TDCJ,    | § |   |
| Respondent.        | § |   |
|                    | § |   |

## ORDER ADOPTING REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION OF UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Petitioner Eddie Ray Coleman, an inmate proceeding *pro se*, filed the above-styled and numbered petition for writ of habeas corpus. The case was referred to United States Magistrate Judge John D. Love pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636. On June 1, 2020, the Magistrate Judge issued a Report and Recommendation (Docket No. 5), recommending that the petition be dismissed for Petitioner's failure to comply with an order of the Court. *Id.* at 2. A return receipt indicating delivery to Petitioner was received by the Clerk on June 15, 2020. Docket No. 6.

This Court reviews the findings and conclusions of the Magistrate Judge *de novo* only if a party objects within fourteen days of service of the Report and Recommendation. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). In conducting a *de novo* review, the Court examines the entire record and makes an independent assessment under the law. *Douglass v. United Servs. Auto. Ass'n*, 79 F.3d 1415, 1430 (5th Cir. 1996) (*en banc*), *superseded on other grounds by statute*, 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) (extending the time to file objections from ten to fourteen days). Here, Petitioner did not file objections in the prescribed period. The Court therefore reviews the Magistrate Judge's findings for clear error or abuse of discretion and reviews his legal conclusions to determine whether they are contrary to law. *See United States v. Wilson*, 864 F.2d 1219, 1221 (5th Cir. 1989), *cert. denied*,

492 U.S. 918 (1989) (holding that, if no objections to a Magistrate Judge's Report are filed, the standard of review is "clearly erroneous, abuse of discretion and contrary to law").

Having reviewed the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation, the Court adopts the Report and Recommendation of the United States Magistrate Judge (Docket No. 5) as the findings of this Court.

Accordingly, it is hereby **ORDERED** that the Magistrate Judge's Report (Docket No. 5) be **ADOPTED**. It is further

ORDERED that Petitioner's federal habeas petition is DISMISSED WITHOUT

PREJUDICE for Petitioner's failure to comply with an order of the Court. It is further

ORDERED that Petitioner is DENIED a certificate of appealability *sua sponte*.

So ORDERED and SIGNED this 10th day of July, 2020.

JERUMY D KERNODLE

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE